



METODIKA

Comments from the research community

www.metodika.reformy-msmt.cz



evropský
sociální
fond v ČR



EVROPSKÁ UNIE



MINISTERSTVO ŠKOLSTVÍ,
MLÁDEŽE A TĚLOVÝCHOVY



OP Vzdělávání
pro konkurenceschopnost

INVESTICE DO ROZVOJE VZDĚLÁVÁNÍ



Evaluation design

- **Coverage of all research organisations**
 - Value/need to include also RTOs, government labs, infrastructure
 - Types of RO – inadequate, legal form is the most important
- **Bias towards science**
 - Outputs included are scientific
 - Insufficient coverage of information needed to assess value of applied research
 - Indicators for research relevant for RTOs? (e.g. Internationalisation)
- **Definition of Research Unit is problematic**
 - RUs need to be defined by the EvU
 - What about institutes in HEIs as EVU?
 - Reflect organisational structure to provide input for institutional management
 - Interdisciplinary research by individual researchers versus RU
- **Institutional evaluation not enough in-depth → limited value**
 - Onsite visits are paramount



Evaluation implementation

- **Risks of gaming (research outputs)**
- **Czech versus international experts:**
 - Capacity of international experts to take country specifics into account
 - Peer review is prone to nepotism & does not work in the CR
- **Cost/benefit of the EM versus Metodika (coffee mill)**
 - Costs are too high
 - Burden on evaluated units are too high
 - Where's the added value?



METODIKA

Děkujeme za pozornost!

www.metodika.reformy-msmt.cz



INVESTICE DO ROZVOJE VZDĚLÁVÁNÍ